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#### Abstract

We consider the problem on stability or instability of unit vector fields on three-dimensional Lie groups with left-invariant metric which have totally geodesic image in the unit tangent bundle with the Sasaki metric with respect to classical variations of volume. We prove that among non-flat groups only $S O(3)$ of constant curvature +1 admits stable totally geodesic submanifolds of this kind. Restricting the variations to left-invariant (i.e., equidistant) ones, we give a complete list of groups which admit stable/unstable unit vector fields with totally geodesic image.


## Introduction

Let ( $M, g$ ) be the Riemannian manifold and $\xi$ be a unit tangent vector field on $M$. Then $\xi$ can be considered as a local or global (if exists) immersion $\xi: M \rightarrow T_{1} M$ into the unit tangent bundle. The Sasaki metric $\tilde{g}$ on $T M$ gives rise to the metric on $T_{1} M$ and hence on $\xi(M)$. In this way $(\xi(M), \tilde{g})$ gets definite intrinsic and extrinsic geometry. Particularly, a unit vector field is said to be minimal or totally geodesic if $\xi(M)$ is a minimal or totally geodesic submanifold in $\left(T_{1} M, \tilde{g}\right)$. From the variation theory viewpoint, a minimal unit vector field is a stationary point of the first local normal variation of the volume functional of $\xi(M)$. In other words, $\xi$ is minimal unit vector field if the mean curvature vector of $\xi(M) \subset\left(T_{1} M, \tilde{g}\right)$ vanishes; $\xi$ is totally geodesic unit vector field if all the second fundamental forms of $\xi(M) \subset\left(T_{1} M, \tilde{g}\right)$ vanish. We refer to this kind of minimality as the classical.

A different type of volume variations and hence the minimality for a given unit vector field was proposed in [12] and developed in [9, 10]. Denote by $\mathfrak{X}^{1}(M)$ a space of all smooth unit vector fields on $M$. The variation of $\xi$ within $\mathfrak{X}^{1}(M)$ gives rise to variation of $\xi(M)$ and hence the volume functional $\operatorname{Vol}_{\xi}: \mathfrak{X}^{1}(M) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$. We call this type of variations by the field variations. A unit vector field $\xi$ was called minimal, if $\xi$ is a stationary point of the latter functional. It was proved that this definition of minimality is equivalent to the classical one, i.e. minimal unit vector field gives rise to minimal immersion $\xi: M \rightarrow T_{1} M$. The minimality condition in a meaning of [10] was expressed in terms of a special 1-form. There was constructed a number of examples of minimal unit vector fields by using this 1 -form $[3,4,5,13,14,8]$ (the list is not complete). In the case of three-dimensional Lie group $G$ with the left-invariant metric, K. Tsukada and L. Vanhecke manage to find a list of all minimal left-invariant unit
vector fields [17]. It was proved that each minimal left-invariant unit vector field on three-dimensional unimodular Lie group is an eigenvector of the Ricci operator.

Prof. Borisenko A. (Sumy State University, Ukraine) was the first who asked on unit vector fields with totally geodesic image in the unit tangent bundle of Riemannian manifold. The author solved the problem in two-dimensional case [19] and have extracted the subclass of totally geodesic fields on three-dimensional Lie groups by equalizing to zero the whole second fundamental form [21]. As a result, it was proved that each totally geodesic left-invariant unit vector field on three-dimensional unimodular Lie group is the unit eigenvector of the Ricci operator of $G$ with the eigenvalue 2 , if exists.

The second variation formula for the $\xi(M)$-volume functional with respect to the field variation was obtained in [11] and is very complicated to handle with. That is why only little number of results concerning stability/instability are known. Particularly, a minimal unit vector field on 2-dimensional Riemannian manifold is always stable with respect to the field variations [11]. In application to the Hopf vector field on the unit 3 -sphere it was also proved that it is minimal and stable [11]. Remark that the Hopf vector field is totally geodesic one as well as the unit characteristic vector field of the Sasakian structure [18].

On the other hand, there is a well-known formula for the second variation of volume [16] which allows to check stability/instability of minimal submanifold in the Riemannian space with respect to local (or global, if admissible) normal variations of the submanifold. We refer to this kind of stability as classical. This kind of stability/instability is different from the one considered in [11] because the normal variation of the $\xi(M)$ gives rise to the wider class of the field variations. Namely, the variation field can be non-orthogonal to $\xi$.

In some cases the normal variation of the minimal submanifold $\xi(M) \subset T_{1} M$ is probably equivalent to the field variation of minimal unit vector field. The case of totally geodesic left-invariant unit vector field on the three-dimensional Lie group with the left-invariant metric gives a corresponding example. In [14] the authors tried to check stability/instability of left-invariant unit vector fields from Tsukada-Vanhecke list [17]. They have constructed the left-invariant variations of minimal unit left-invariant vector field on compact quotient of unimodular three-dimensional Lie groups which produce instability with respect to the field variations.

In this paper we check the list of all totally geodesic left-invariant unit vector fields on three-dimensional Lie group $G$ with the left-invariant metric and give stability or instability conditions for them with respect to classical normal variations of domains in $\xi(G) \subset T_{1} G$. In the case of unimodular groups we conduct a complete proof for their compact quotients for the sake of simplicity.

The main result (Theorem 2.2) says that only $S O(3)$ of constant curvature +1 admits classically stable totally geodesic left-invariant unit vector field. We also give a list of left invariant totally geodesic unit vector fields on unimodular three-dimensional Lie groups with the left-invariant metric which are stable/unstable with respect to classical left-invariant variations (Theorem 2.4).

Acknowledgement: the author thanks prof. Vladimir Rovensky (Haifa University, Israel) for hospitality during the 2-nd International Workshop on Geometry and Symbolic Computations (Haifa, May $15-20,2013$ ) and the MAPLE developers for the perfect tool in complicated calculations.

## 1 Preliminaries.

The definition of the Sasaki metric is based on the bundle projection differential $\pi_{*}$ : $T T M \rightarrow T M$ and the connection map $\mathcal{K}: T T M \rightarrow T M[7]$. For any $\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y} \in T_{(q, \xi)} T M$, we have

$$
\tilde{g}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})=g\left(\pi_{*} \tilde{X}, \pi_{*} \tilde{Y}\right)+g(\mathcal{K} \tilde{X}, \mathcal{K} \tilde{Y}) .
$$

By definition, the vertical distribution $\mathcal{V}_{(q, \xi)}=\operatorname{ker} \pi_{*}$ and the horizontal one $\mathcal{H}_{(q, \xi)}=$ ker $\mathcal{K}$. Then $T_{(q, \xi)} T M=\mathcal{V}_{(q, \xi)} \oplus \mathcal{H}_{(q, \xi)}$ and the horizontal and vertical distributions are mutually orthogonal with respect to $\tilde{g}$.

The horizontal and vertical lifts of a vector field $X$ on the base are defined as the unique vector fields $X^{h}$ and $X^{v}$ on $T M$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\pi_{*} X^{h}=X, & \pi_{*} X^{v}=0 \\
\mathcal{K} X^{h}=0, & \mathcal{K} X^{v}=X
\end{array}
$$

The $h$ - and $v$ - lifts of the tangent frame on $M$ form the lifted frame on $T M$. As concerns the unit tangent bundle, the lifted frame on $T_{1} M$ at $(q, \xi) \in T_{1} M$ is formed by $h$ - lift and the tangential lift [2] of the frame on $M$. The latter is defined by

$$
X^{t a n}=X^{v}-g(X, \xi) \xi^{v} .
$$

Evidently, $X^{t a n}=X^{v}$ for all $X$ from the orthogonal complement of the "vector part" of a point $(q, \xi)$. We use this fact without special comments.

Denote by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the Lie algebra of smooth vector fields on $M$ and by $\mathfrak{X}_{\xi^{\perp}}(M)$ the orthogonal complement of a unit vector field $\xi$ in $\mathfrak{X}(M)$. If $\xi$ is a unit vector field on $M$, then it can be considered as a mapping $\xi: M \rightarrow T_{1} M$. Then its differential $\xi_{*}$ sends a vector field $X \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ into $T \xi(M)$ by [20]

$$
\xi_{*} X=X^{h}+\left(\nabla_{X} \xi\right)^{t a n}=X^{h}+\left(\nabla_{X} \xi\right)^{v},
$$

where $\nabla$ means the Riemannian connection of $(M, g)$.
In what follows we use the notion of the Nomizu operator $A_{\xi}: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}_{\xi^{\perp}}(M)$ given by

$$
A_{\xi} X=-\nabla_{X} \xi .
$$

Denote by $A_{\xi}^{t}$ a conjugate Nomizu operator defined by $g\left(A_{\xi} X, Y\right)=g\left(X, A_{\xi}^{t} Y\right)$. Then one can define the tangent $\xi_{*}: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow T \xi(M)$ and the normal $\nu: \mathfrak{X}(M) \rightarrow T^{\perp} \xi(M)$ mappings by

$$
\begin{align*}
& \xi_{*}(X)=X^{h}-\left(A_{\xi} X\right)^{t a n}=X^{h}-\left(A_{\xi} X\right)^{v}, \\
& \nu(Y)=\left(A_{\xi}^{t} Y\right)^{h}+Y^{t a n} . \tag{1}
\end{align*}
$$

Then there are local orthonormal frames $\left(e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}\right) \in \mathfrak{X}(M)$ and $\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n-1}\right) \in \mathfrak{X}_{\xi^{\perp}}$ such that

$$
A_{\xi} e_{i}=\sigma_{i} f_{i}, \quad A_{\xi}^{t} f_{i}=\sigma_{i} e_{i},
$$

where $\sigma_{i} \geq 0$ are the singular values of the linear operator $A_{\xi}$. In fact, $e_{i}$ are the eigenvectors of the symmetric linear operator $A_{\xi}^{t} A_{\xi}$ and its eigenvalues are the squares of the singular values.

By dimension reasons, there is at least local unit vector field $e_{0}$ such that $A_{\xi} e_{0}=0$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{e}_{\alpha}=\frac{\xi_{*}\left(e_{\alpha}\right)}{\left|\xi_{*}\left(e_{\alpha}\right)\right|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}}}\left(e_{\alpha}^{h}-\sigma_{\alpha} f_{\alpha}^{v}\right), \tilde{e}_{n}=e_{0}^{h},  \tag{2}\\
& \tilde{n}_{\alpha}=\frac{\nu\left(f_{\alpha}\right)}{\left|\nu\left(f_{\alpha}\right)\right|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\sigma_{\alpha}^{2}}}\left(\sigma_{\alpha} e_{\alpha}^{h}+f_{\alpha}^{v}\right) \quad \alpha=1, \ldots, n-1
\end{align*}
$$

form the tangent and normal framing over $\xi(M) \subset T_{1} M$. We call this framing the singular one. If $\xi$ is a geodesic unit vector field, i.e. $A_{\xi} \xi=0$, then one can always put $\tilde{e}_{n}=\xi^{h}$.

Let $\tilde{n}=\frac{\nu(Z)}{|\nu(Z)|}$ be a unit normal vector field on $\xi(M)$ and $F \subset M$ be a domain with a compact closeur. Denote by $\tilde{N}=w \tilde{n}$ a local normal variation vector field, where $w: F \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ is a smooth function such that $\left.w\right|_{\partial \bar{F}}=0$. Suppose $\xi(M)$ is minimal. Then the formula for second variation of the volume in application to our case takes the form

$$
\delta^{2}\left(V o l_{\xi}\right)=\int_{\xi(F)}\left(\left\|\tilde{\nabla}^{\perp} \tilde{N}\right\|^{2}-\left(\widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}}(\tilde{N})+\left\|\tilde{S}_{\tilde{N}}\right\|^{2}\right)\right) d V o l_{\xi}
$$

where $\tilde{\nabla}^{\perp}$ means the covariant derivative in the normal bundle of $\xi(M), \widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}}(\tilde{N})$ is the partial Ricci curvature and $\tilde{S}$ is the shape operator of $\xi(M)$.

In the case of compact orientable $M$ and totally geodesic $\xi(M)$ the formula takes a simpler form, namely

$$
\delta^{2} V o l_{\xi}=\int_{\xi(M)} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left\|\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{i}}^{\perp} \tilde{N}\right\|^{2}-w^{2} \tilde{K}\left(\tilde{e}_{i}, \tilde{n}\right)\right) d V o l_{\xi}
$$

Finally remark, that

$$
d V o l_{\xi}=\sqrt{\operatorname{det}\left(I+A_{\xi}^{t} A_{\xi}\right)} d V:=L^{1 / 2} d V
$$

where $d V$ is the volume element of the base manifold. That is why one can rewrite the formula of the second variation as follows

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta^{2} V o l_{\xi}=\int_{M} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(\left\|\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{i}}^{\perp} \tilde{N}\right\|^{2}-w^{2} \tilde{K}\left(\tilde{e}_{i}, \tilde{n}\right)\right) L^{1 / 2} d V \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the next sections we simplify this formula in application to three-dimensional Lie groups with the left-invariant metric.

## 2 Three-dimensional unimodular Lie groups with the leftinvariant metric.

Let $\xi$ be a unit left-invariant vector field on the three-dimensional Lie group $G$ with the left-invariant Riemannian metric. The group $G$ is unimodular if and only if there is a discrete subgroup $\Gamma$ acting on $G$ by left translations free and properly discontinuous such that the left quotient $\Gamma \backslash G$ is compact [15]. The $\Gamma \backslash G$ is a compact Riemannian
manifold with the same curvature properties as $G$. The descended unit vector field has the same properties concerning minimality, harmonicity etc. as the one on $G$ [14].

For each three-dimensional unimodular Lie group $G$, there is an orthonormal frame $e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}$ such that [15]

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left[e_{2}, e_{3}\right]=\lambda_{1} e_{1}, \quad\left[e_{3}, e_{1}\right]=\lambda_{2} e_{2}, \quad\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=\lambda_{3} e_{3} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We will refer to this frame as to the canonical one. This frame consists of eigenvectors of the Ricci curvature operator. Each frame vector field is the Killing one and hence geodesic. The Levi-Civita connection coefficients on $G$ can be easily find, namely $\mu_{i}=$ $\frac{1}{2}\left(\lambda_{1}+\lambda_{2}+\lambda_{3}\right)-\lambda_{i}$, and the frame covariant derivatives takes the form $\nabla_{e_{i}} e_{k}=\mu_{i} e_{i} \times e_{k}$. It is also well-known that the principal Ricci curvatures are $\rho_{i}=2 \mu_{j} \mu_{k}$ and the basic sectional curvatures are $k_{i j}:=g\left(R\left(e_{i}, e_{j}\right) e_{j}, e_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{i}+\rho_{j}-\rho_{k}\right)$, where $i, j, k$ are all different.

The constants $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ define the topological structure of $G$ in the following sense:

| Signs of $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ | Associated Lie group |
| :---: | :---: |
| ,,+++ | $S O(3)$ |
| ,,++- | $S L(2, \mathbb{R})$ |
| ,,++ 0 | $E(2)$ |
| $+, 0,-$ | $E(1,1)$ |
| $+, 0,0$ | Nil $^{3}$ (Heisenberg group) |
| $0,0,0$ | $\mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R} \oplus \mathbb{R}$ |

The class of left-invariant totally geodesic unit vector fields on three-dimensional unimodular Lie group $G$ can be described as the eigenvectors of the Ricci operator associated with the eigenvalue 2 , if exists [21]. Namely,

| $\rho_{1}$ | $\rho_{2}$ | $\rho_{3}$ | $\mu_{1}$ | $\mu_{2}$ | $\mu_{3}$ | $\xi$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\mathcal{S}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | $\neq 0$ | 0 | 0 | $\pm e_{1}, \cos t e_{2}+\sin t e_{3}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\neq 0$ | 0 | $\pm e_{2}, \cos t e_{1}+\sin t e_{3}$ |
| 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\neq 0$ | $\pm e_{3}, \cos t e_{1}+\sin t e_{2}$ |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  | $\pm e_{1}$ |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  | $\pm e_{2}$ |
|  |  | 2 |  |  |  | $\pm e_{3}$ |
| 2 | 2 |  |  |  |  | $\cos t e_{1}+\sin t e_{2}$ |
| 2 |  | 2 |  |  |  | $\cos t e_{1}+\sin t e_{3}$ |
|  | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | $\cos t e_{2}+\sin t e_{3}$ |
| 2 | 2 | 2 |  |  |  | $\mathcal{S}$ |

where $\mathcal{S}$ stands for vector fields of the form $\xi=\cos t \cos s e_{1}+\cos t \sin s e_{2}+\sin t e_{3}$ with arbitrary fixed parameters $t$ and $s$. The detailed analysis of the table above yields the following result [21].
Theorem 2.1 Let $G$ be three-dimensional unimodular Lie group with the left-invariant metric. Let $\left\{e_{i}, i=1,2,3\right\}$ be the canonical frame of its Lie algebra. Set for definiteness $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \lambda_{3}$. Then the totally geodesic left-invariant unit vector fields on (compact quotient of ) $G$ are the following:

| $G$ or $\Gamma \backslash G$ | Conditions on $\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}$ | $\xi$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| $S O(3)$ | $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=2$ | $\mathcal{S}$ |
|  | $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda>\lambda_{3}=2$ | $\pm e_{3}$ |
|  | $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda>2>\lambda_{3}=\lambda-\sqrt{ } \lambda^{2}-4$ | $\cos t e_{1}+\sin t e_{2}$ |
|  | $\lambda_{1}=2>\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=\lambda>0$ | $\pm e_{1}$ |
|  | $\lambda_{1}=\lambda+\sqrt{2}-4>\lambda=\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}>2$ | $\cos t e_{2}+\sin t e_{3}$ |
|  | $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{3}>0, \lambda_{m}^{2}-\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{k}\right)^{2}=4$ | $\pm e_{m}(i, k, m=1,2,3)$ |
| $E(2)$ | $\lambda_{3}^{2}-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}=4$ | $\pm e_{3}$ |
|  | $\lambda_{1}^{2}-\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)^{2}=4$ | $\pm e_{1}$ |
|  | $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}>0, \lambda_{3}=0$ | $\pm e_{3}, \cos t e_{1}+\sin t e_{2}$ |
|  | $\lambda_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}=4, \lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>0, \lambda_{3}=0$ | $\pm e_{1}$ |
|  | $\lambda_{3}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}=4, \lambda_{1}>0, \lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}<0$ | $\pm e_{3}$ |
| $N i l^{3}$ | $\lambda_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{3}^{2}=4, \lambda_{1}>0, \lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}<0$ | $\pm e_{1}$ |
| $R \oplus R \oplus R$ | $\lambda_{1}=2, \lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}=0$ | $\pm e_{1}$ |

where $\mathcal{S}$ stands for vector fields of the form $\xi=\cos t \cos s e_{1}+\cos t \sin s e_{2}+\sin t e_{3}$ with arbitrary fixed parameters $t$ and $s$.

For any left invariant vector field $\xi=x_{1} e_{1}+x_{2} e_{2}+x_{3} e_{3}$ we have $\nabla_{e_{i}} \xi=\mu_{i} e_{i} \times \xi$ and as a consequence, with respect to the canonical frame, we have

$$
A_{\xi}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\mu_{2} x_{3} & \mu_{3} x_{2}  \tag{5}\\
\mu_{1} x_{3} & 0 & -\mu_{3} x_{1} \\
-\mu_{1} x_{2} & \mu_{2} x_{1} & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

To calculate the integrand in (3), we need some Lemmas.
Lemma 2.1 Let $\xi:=e_{m}$ be a totally geodesic left-invariant unit vector field on (compact quotient of) unimodular three-dimensional Lie group $G$ with left-invariant metric. Then the normal bundle connection coefficients of $\xi(G)$ with respect to framing (1) are

$$
\tilde{\gamma}_{j \mid s}^{i}=-\frac{1}{2} k_{i j} \delta_{s m} \quad((i<j) \neq m)
$$

where $k_{i j}$ means the sectional curvature of $G$ along $e_{i} \wedge e_{j}$.
Proof. We will conduct the proof for $\xi=e_{3}$. Observe that since $\xi$ is supposed totally geodesic, the principal Ricci curvature $\rho_{3}=2$ and hence $\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=\frac{1}{2} \rho_{3}=1$. From (5) we get

$$
A_{\xi}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\mu_{2} & 0 \\
\mu_{1} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{\xi}^{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \mu_{1} & 0 \\
-\mu_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{\xi}^{t} A_{\xi}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
\mu_{1}^{2} & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \mu_{2}^{2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

Hence, the $e_{1}, e_{2}$ can be taken as the vectors of singular frame. Since

$$
A_{\xi} e_{1}=\mu_{1} e_{2}, \quad A_{\xi} e_{2}=-\mu_{2} e_{1}
$$

we may put $\sigma_{1}=\mu_{1}, \sigma_{2}=\mu_{2}$ and take $f_{1}=e_{2}, f_{2}=-e_{1}$. Then the framing (2) takes the form

$$
\begin{gather*}
\tilde{e}_{1}=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{1}\right)^{h}-\left(\frac{\mu_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{2}\right)^{v}, \tilde{e}_{2}=\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} e_{2}\right)^{h}+\left(\frac{\mu_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} e_{1}\right)^{v}, \tilde{e}_{3}=\left(e_{3}\right)^{h} \\
\tilde{n}_{1}=\left(\frac{\mu_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{1}\right)^{h}+\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{2}\right)^{v}, \quad \tilde{n}_{2}=\left(\frac{\mu_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} e_{2}\right)^{h}-\left(\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} e_{1}\right)^{v} \tag{6}
\end{gather*}
$$

Recall, that $\tilde{\gamma}_{j \mid s}^{i}:=\tilde{g}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{s}} \tilde{n}_{j}, \tilde{n}_{i}\right)$ and in our case we only need to calculate $\tilde{\gamma}_{1 \mid s}^{2}$. To do this we use Kowalski-type formulas from [2], namely

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X^{h}} Y^{h}=\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{h}-\frac{1}{2}(R(X, Y) \xi)^{\tan }, & \tilde{\nabla}_{X^{h}} Y^{\tan }=\left(\nabla_{X} Y\right)^{\tan }+\frac{1}{2}(R(\xi, Y) X)^{h} \\
\tilde{\nabla}_{X^{\tan }} Y^{h}=\frac{1}{2}(R(\xi, X) Y)^{h}, & \tilde{\nabla}_{X^{\tan }} Y^{\tan }=-g(Y, \xi) X^{\tan }
\end{array}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\nabla}_{X_{1}^{h}+X_{2}^{\tan }}\left(Y_{1}^{h}+Y_{2}^{\tan }\right)= \\
& \left(\nabla_{X_{1}} Y_{1}+\frac{1}{2} R\left(\xi, Y_{2}\right) X_{1}+\frac{1}{2} R\left(\xi, X_{2}\right) Y_{1}\right)^{h}+\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} Y_{2}-\frac{1}{2} R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \xi-g\left(Y_{2}, \xi\right) X_{2}\right)^{t a n}
\end{aligned}
$$

Straight forward calculations show that the curvature tensor components are of the form

|  | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \bullet$ | $-k_{12} e_{2}$ | $k_{12} e_{1}$ | 0 |
| $R\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) \bullet$ | $-k_{13} e_{3}$ | 0 | $k_{13} e_{1}$ |
| $R\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) \bullet$ | 0 | $-k_{23} e_{3}$ | $k_{23} e_{2}$ |

where $k_{i j}=\frac{1}{2}\left(\rho_{i}+\rho_{j}-\rho_{m}\right)(i \neq j \neq m \neq i)$ are basic sectional curvatures.
Using this formulas, we obtain easily $\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{1}} \tilde{n}_{1}=\left((*) e_{3}\right)^{t a n}=0, \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{2}} \tilde{n}_{1}=(*) e_{3}^{h}$ and hence $\tilde{\gamma}_{1 \mid 1}^{2}=\tilde{\gamma}_{1 \mid 2}^{2}=0$. Finaly,

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{3}} \tilde{n}_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{k_{12}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{2}^{h}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{2 \mu_{3}-\mu_{1} k_{13}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{1}^{v}
$$

As $\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=1$, we can simplify

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2 \mu_{3}-\mu_{1} k_{13}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}}=\frac{2 \mu_{3}-\mu_{1}\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{2}+\mu_{2} \mu_{3}-\mu_{1} \mu_{3}\right)}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}}=\frac{2 \mu_{3}-\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{3}-\mu_{1}^{2} \mu_{3}\right)}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}}= \\
& \frac{\mu_{3}+\mu_{1}^{2} \mu_{3}-\mu_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}}=\frac{\mu_{2} \mu_{3}+\mu_{1} \mu_{3}-\mu_{2} \mu_{3}}{\mu_{2} \sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}}=\frac{k_{12}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} \\
& \frac{k_{12}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}}=\frac{\mu_{2} k_{12}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

So, we have

$$
\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{3}} \tilde{n}_{1}=\frac{1}{2} k_{12} \tilde{n}_{2}
$$

and hence $\tilde{\gamma}_{1 \mid 3}^{2}=\frac{1}{2} k_{12}$. For the cases of $\xi=e_{1}$ and $\xi=e_{2}$ the calculations are similar.
The partial Ricci curvature $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}}(\tilde{N})=w^{2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \tilde{K}\left(\tilde{e}_{i}, \tilde{n}\right)$, where $\tilde{e}_{i}$ are the vectors of orthonormal frame tangent to $\xi(M)$, can be calculated by using the formula for the sectional curvature of $T_{1} M$. Namely, if $\tilde{X}=X_{1}^{h}+X_{2}^{t a n}$ and $\tilde{Y}=Y_{1}^{h}+Y_{2}^{t a n}$ are orthonormal, then [6]:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \tilde{K}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})=\left\langle R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Y_{1}, X_{1}\right\rangle-\frac{3}{4}\left\|R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \xi\right\|^{2}+ \\
& \frac{1}{4}\left\|R\left(\xi, Y_{2}^{\prime}\right) X_{1}+R\left(\xi, X_{2}^{\prime}\right) Y_{1}\right\|^{2}+3\left\langle R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Y_{2}^{\prime}, X_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle-\left\langle R\left(\xi, X_{2}^{\prime}\right) X_{1}, R\left(\xi, Y_{2}^{\prime}\right) Y_{1}\right\rangle+ \\
& \quad\left\|X_{2}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}\left\|Y_{2}^{\prime}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle X_{2}^{\prime}, Y_{2}^{\prime}\right\rangle^{2}+\left\langle\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} R\right)\left(\xi, Y_{2}^{\prime}\right) Y_{1}, X_{1}\right\rangle+\left\langle\left(\nabla_{Y_{1}} R\right)\left(\xi, X_{2}^{\prime}\right) X_{1}, Y_{1}\right\rangle, \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where $X_{2}^{\prime}=X_{2}-g\left(X_{2}, \xi\right) \xi, Y_{2}^{\prime}=Y_{2}-g\left(Y_{2}, \xi\right) \xi, R$ and $\nabla$ are the curvature tensor and Riemannian connection of the base manifold ( $M, g$ ) respectively.

So, to find the partial Ricci curvature of $\xi(G)$, we need the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor. One can find them by standard calculations.

Lemma 2.2 Let $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)$ be the canonical left-invariant frame on (compact quotient of ) three-dimensional unimodular Lie group with the left-invariant metric. Then the covariant derivatives of the curvature tensor are of he form

|  | $(\nabla \cdot R)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{1}$ | $(\nabla \cdot R)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{2}$ | $(\nabla \bullet R)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{3}$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{1}$ | $\mu_{1}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{2}\right) e_{3}$ | 0 | $-\mu_{1}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{2}\right) e_{1}$ |
| $e_{2}$ | 0 | $\mu_{2}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right) e_{3}$ | $-\mu_{2}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right) e_{2}$ |
| $e_{3}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |


|  | $(\nabla \cdot R)\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{1}$ | $(\nabla \cdot R)\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{2}$ | $(\nabla \cdot R)\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{1}$ | $\mu_{1}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{2}\right) e_{2}$ | $-\mu_{1}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{2}\right) e_{1}$ | 0 |
| $e_{2}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $e_{3}$ | 0 | $\mu_{3}\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) e_{3}$ | $-\mu_{3}\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) e_{2}$ |


|  | $(\nabla \cdot R)\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{1}$ | $(\nabla \cdot R)\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{2}$ | $(\nabla \cdot R)\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $e_{2}$ | $\mu_{2}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right) e_{2}$ | $-\mu_{2}\left(\rho_{3}-\rho_{1}\right) e_{1}$ | 0 |
| $e_{3}$ | $\mu_{3}\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) e_{3}$ | 0 | $-\mu_{3}\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{1}\right) e_{1}$ |

where $\rho_{i}$ are the principal Ricci curvatures and $\mu_{i}$ are the connection coefficients.

Now we can calculate the partial Ricci curvature with respect to arbitrary normal vector field for totally geodesic $\xi(G)$.

Lemma 2.3 Let $\xi=e_{m}$ be a totally geodesic unit vector field on (compact quotient of) three-dimensional unimodular Lie group $G$ with the left-invariant metric. The partial Ricci curvature of $\xi(G)$ with respect to arbitrary normal vector field $\tilde{N}=h_{i} \tilde{n}_{i}+h_{j} \tilde{n}_{j}$ $(i \neq j \neq m \neq i)$ is of the form

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}}(\tilde{N})=\frac{1}{4} k_{i j}\left(h_{i}^{2}+h_{j}^{2}\right)+\left(1-\frac{\rho_{j}^{2}}{4}\right) h_{i}^{2}+\left(1-\frac{\rho_{i}^{2}}{4}\right) h_{j}^{2}
$$

where $k_{i j}$ is a basic $e_{i} \wedge e_{j}$ sectional curvature and $\rho_{i}$ are the principal Ricci curvatures.
Proof. We will conduct the proof for $\xi=e_{3}$, since the other cases are similar. Take the $\xi(G)$ tangent and normal framing according to (6) and (7). Then the arbitrary normal vector field $\tilde{N}$ can be expressed by

$$
\tilde{N}=\left(\frac{h_{1} \mu_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{1}+\frac{h_{2} \mu_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} e_{2}\right)^{h}+\left(-\frac{h_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} e_{1}+\frac{h_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{2}\right)^{v}
$$

Observe that if $\tilde{X}$ is of unit length and orthogonal to $\tilde{Y}$, then $|\tilde{Y}|^{2} \tilde{K}(\tilde{X}, \tilde{Y})$ could be calculated by (8) assuming that $Y_{1}$ and $Y_{2}$ are the components of the non-normalized vector. Keeping this, put

$$
Y_{1}=\frac{h_{1} \mu_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{1}+\frac{h_{2} \mu_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} e_{2}, \quad Y_{2}=-\frac{h_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} e_{1}+\frac{h_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{2}
$$

To calculate $\tilde{K}\left(\tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{N}\right)$, put

$$
X_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{1} \quad X_{2}=\frac{-\mu_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{2}
$$

The calculations with MAPLE yield:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Y_{1}, X_{1}\right\rangle=\left.\frac{\mu_{2}^{2} k_{12}}{\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)} h_{2}^{2}\right|_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=1}=\frac{\mu_{1}^{2} \mu_{3}+\mu_{3}-\mu_{1}}{\mu_{1}\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{2}^{2} \\
& \left\|R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \xi\right\|^{2}=0, \\
& \left\|R\left(\xi, Y_{2}\right) X_{1}+R\left(\xi, X_{2}\right) Y_{1}\right\|^{2}=\left.\frac{\left(k_{13}+\mu_{1} \mu_{2} k_{23}\right)^{2}}{\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)} h_{2}^{2}\right|_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=1}=\frac{4 \mu_{1}^{2}}{\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{2}^{2} \\
& \left\langle R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Y_{2}, X_{2}\right\rangle=-\left.\frac{\mu_{1} \mu_{2} k_{12}}{\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)} h_{2}^{2}\right|_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=1}=-\frac{\mu_{1}\left(\mu_{1}^{2} \mu_{3}+\mu_{3}-\mu_{1}\right)}{\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{2}^{2} \\
& \left\langle R\left(\xi, X_{2}\right) X_{1}, R\left(\xi, Y_{2}\right) Y_{1}\right\rangle=0, \\
& \left\|X_{2}\right\|^{2}\left\|Y_{2}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle X_{2}, Y_{2}\right\rangle^{2}=\left.\frac{\mu_{1}^{2}}{\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)} h_{2}^{2}\right|_{\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=1}=\frac{\mu_{1}^{4}}{\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{2}^{2} \\
& \left\langle\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} R\right)\left(\xi, Y_{2}\right) Y_{1}, X_{1}\right\rangle=\left.\frac{\rho_{3}\left(\rho_{2}-\rho_{3}\right)}{2\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)} h_{2}^{2}\right|_{\rho_{3}=2, \mu_{1} \mu_{2}=1}=\frac{2 \mu_{1}^{2}\left(\mu_{1} \mu_{3}-1\right)}{\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{2}^{2}, \\
& \left\langle\left(\nabla_{Y_{1}} R\right)\left(\xi, X_{2}\right) X_{1}, Y_{1}\right\rangle=-\left.\frac{\mu_{2}^{2} \rho_{3}\left(\rho_{1}-\rho_{3}\right)}{2\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu_{2}^{2}\right)} h_{2}^{2}\right|_{\rho_{3}=2, \mu_{1} \mu_{2}=1}=\frac{2\left(\mu_{1}-\mu_{3}\right)}{\mu_{1}\left(1+\mu_{1}^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

After substitution into (8), we get

$$
|\tilde{N}|^{2} \tilde{K}\left(\tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{N}\right)=\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \rho_{1}\right) h_{2}^{2} .
$$

After similar calculations, one can find

$$
\begin{aligned}
& |\tilde{N}|^{2} \tilde{K}\left(\tilde{e}_{2}, \tilde{N}\right)=\left(1-\frac{1}{2} \rho_{2}\right) h_{1}^{2}, \\
& |\tilde{N}|^{2} \tilde{K}\left(\tilde{e}_{3}, \tilde{N}\right)=\frac{1}{4} k_{12}^{2}\left(h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(\frac{\rho_{2}}{2}-\frac{\rho_{2}^{2}}{4}\right) h_{1}^{2}+\left(\frac{\rho_{1}}{2}-\frac{\rho_{1}^{2}}{4}\right) h_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows then

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}}(\tilde{N})=\frac{1}{4} k_{12}^{2}\left(h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}\right)+\left(1-\frac{\rho_{2}^{2}}{4}\right) h_{1}^{2}+\left(1-\frac{\rho_{1}^{2}}{4}\right) h_{2}^{2}
$$

which completes the proof.
The following Lemma is the principal one.
Lemma 2.4 Let $\xi=e_{m}$ be a totaly geodesic left-invariant unit vector field on threedimensional non-flat compact quotient of unimodular Lie group with the left-invariant metric. Then the integrand in the second volume variation formula (3) can be reduced to

$$
\begin{align*}
& W(h, h):=\left[\frac{e_{i}\left(h_{i}\right)^{2}}{1+\mu_{i}^{2}}-\frac{2 k_{i j}}{\lambda_{m}} e_{i}\left(h_{i}\right) e_{j}\left(h_{j}\right)+\frac{e_{j}\left(h_{j}\right)^{2}}{1+\mu_{j}^{2}}+\right. \\
& \left.\frac{e_{i}\left(h_{j}\right)^{2}}{1+\mu_{i}^{2}}+\frac{2 k_{i j}}{\lambda_{m}} e_{i}\left(h_{j}\right) e_{j}\left(h_{i}\right)+\frac{e_{j}\left(h_{i}\right)^{2}}{1+\mu_{j}^{2}}+e_{m}\left(h_{i}\right)^{2}+e_{m}\left(h_{j}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\rho_{j}^{2}}{4}-1\right) h_{i}^{2}+\left(\frac{\rho_{i}^{2}}{4}-1\right) h_{j}^{2}\right]\left|\lambda_{m}\right|, \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

where $i \neq j \neq m \neq i, \rho_{i}$ and $\rho_{j}$ are the principal Ricci curvatures, $k_{i j}$ are the basic sectional curvatures of $G$ and $h_{i}$ are the variation functions.

Proof. We will conduct the calculations for the case $m=3$. First of all observe, that $\xi=e_{m}$ is the unit Ricci eigenvector of eigenvalue $\rho_{3}=2$, which means that $\mu_{1} \mu_{2}=1$ and hence

$$
L=\operatorname{det}\left(I+A_{\xi}^{t} A_{\xi}\right)=1+\mu_{1}^{2}+\mu_{2}^{2}+\mu_{1}^{2} \mu_{2}^{2}=2+\mu_{1}^{2}+\mu_{2}^{2}=\left(\mu_{1}+\mu_{2}\right)^{2}=\lambda_{3}^{2} .
$$

Therefore, $d V o l_{\xi}$ is a constant multiple of $d V$, namely $d V o l_{\xi}=\left|\lambda_{3}\right| d V$. Take the $\xi(G)$ tangent and normal framing according to (6) and (7). Put $\tilde{N}=h_{1} \tilde{n}_{1}+h_{2} \tilde{n}_{2}$. To calculate $\left|\tilde{\nabla} \frac{\stackrel{\tilde{e}_{i}}{i}}{1} \tilde{N}\right|^{2}$, observe that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\nabla}_{\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{e}}^{\perp} \tilde{N}=\left\langle\left\langle\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{i}} \tilde{N}, \tilde{n}_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle \tilde{n}_{1}+\left\langle\left\langle\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{i}} \tilde{N}, \tilde{n}_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle \tilde{n}_{2}=\tilde{e}_{i}\left(h_{1}\right) \tilde{n}_{1}+\tilde{e}_{i}\left(h_{2}\right) \tilde{n}_{2}+ \\
& h_{2}\left\langle\left\langle\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{i}} \tilde{n}_{2}, \tilde{n}_{1}\right\rangle\right\rangle \tilde{n}_{1}+h_{1}\left\langle\left\langle\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{i}} \tilde{n}_{1}, \tilde{n}_{2}\right\rangle\right\rangle \tilde{n}_{2}=\tilde{e}_{i}\left(h_{1}\right) \tilde{n}_{1}+\tilde{e}_{i}\left(h_{2}\right) \tilde{n}_{2}+h_{2} \tilde{\gamma}_{2 \mid i}^{1} \tilde{n}_{1}+h_{1} \tilde{\gamma}_{1 \mid i}^{2} \tilde{n}_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Lemma 2.1, we have

$$
\tilde{\nabla} \frac{\perp}{\tilde{e}_{3}} \tilde{N}=\left(\tilde{e}_{3}\left(h_{1}\right)-\frac{1}{2} k_{12} h_{2}\right) \tilde{n}_{1}+\left(\tilde{e}_{3}\left(h_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} k_{12} h_{1}\right) \tilde{n}_{2}
$$

Therefore

$$
\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\|\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\tilde{e}_{i}}{1}}^{\perp} \tilde{N}\right\|^{2}=\sum_{i=1}^{3}\left(\tilde{e}_{i}\left(h_{1}\right)^{2}+\tilde{e}_{i}\left(h_{2}\right)^{2}\right)+k_{12}\left(\tilde{e}_{3}\left(h_{2}\right) h_{1}-\tilde{e}_{3}\left(h_{1}\right) h_{2}\right)+\frac{1}{4} k_{12}^{2}\left(h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

Since $h_{i}$ are the functions on the base manifold, we have $\tilde{e}_{\alpha}\left(h_{\sigma}\right)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{\alpha}^{2}}} e_{\alpha}\left(h_{\sigma}\right)$ and $\tilde{e}_{3}\left(h_{\sigma}\right)=e_{3}\left(h_{\sigma}\right)$, where $(\alpha, \sigma=1,2)$. Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sum_{i=1}^{3} \| \tilde{\nabla} \frac{\tilde{e}_{i}}{} \\
& N
\end{aligned} \|^{2}=\sum_{\alpha=1}^{2} \frac{1}{1+\mu_{\alpha}^{2}}\left(e_{\alpha}\left(h_{1}\right)^{2}+e_{\alpha}\left(h_{2}\right)^{2}\right)+\quad .
$$

Since $G$ is compact, by the divergence theorem

$$
\int_{G} \operatorname{div}(X) d V=0
$$

for any vector field $X$. For $X=h_{1} h_{2} e_{3}$, we have

$$
\operatorname{div}\left(h_{1} h_{2} e_{3}\right)=g\left(\operatorname{grad}\left(h_{1} h_{2}\right), e_{3}\right)=e_{3}\left(h_{1}\right) h_{2}+e_{3}\left(h_{2}\right) h_{1}
$$

and hence

$$
\int_{G}\left(e_{3}\left(h_{2}\right) h_{1}-e_{3}\left(h_{1}\right) h_{2}\right) d V=2 \int_{G} e_{3}\left(h_{2}\right) h_{1} d V
$$

Analyzing the Table in the Theorem 2.1 one can observe, that all cases (except $E(2)$ and $T^{3}$ with flat metric) the totaly geodesic $e_{i}$ corresponds to $\lambda_{i} \neq 0$. Therefore, we can continue as

$$
2 \int_{G / \Gamma} e_{3}\left(h_{2}\right) h_{1} d V=\frac{2}{\lambda_{3}} \int_{G}\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]\left(h_{2}\right) h_{1} d V
$$

Expand

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]\left(h_{2}\right)=h_{1} e_{1}\left(e_{2}\left(h_{2}\right)\right)-h_{1} e_{2}\left(e_{1}\left(h_{2}\right)\right)= \\
& e_{1}\left(h_{1} e_{2}\left(h_{2}\right)\right)-e_{1}\left(h_{1}\right) e_{2}\left(h_{2}\right)-e_{2}\left(h_{1} e_{1}\left(h_{2}\right)\right)+e_{2}\left(h_{1}\right) e_{1}\left(h_{2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $G$ is compact and boundaryless, after applying the Stokes formula we get

$$
\int_{G}\left(e_{3}\left(h_{2}\right) h_{1}-e_{3}\left(h_{1}\right) h_{2}\right) d V=\frac{2}{\lambda_{3}} \int_{G}\left(e_{2}\left(h_{1}\right) e_{1}\left(h_{2}\right)-e_{1}\left(h_{1}\right) e_{2}\left(h_{2}\right)\right) d V
$$

Hence,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{\xi(G)} \sum_{i=1}^{3}\left\|\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{i}}^{\perp} \tilde{N}\right\|^{2} d V o l_{\xi}=\int_{G}\left(\frac{e_{1}\left(h_{1}\right)^{2}}{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}-\frac{2 k_{12}}{\lambda_{3}} e_{1}\left(h_{1}\right) e_{2}\left(h_{2}\right)+\frac{e_{2}\left(h_{2}\right)^{2}}{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}+\right. \\
& \left.\frac{e_{1}\left(h_{2}\right)^{2}}{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}+\frac{2 k_{12}}{\lambda_{3}} e_{1}\left(h_{2}\right) e_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)+\frac{e_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)^{2}}{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}+e_{3}\left(h_{1}\right)^{2}+e_{3}\left(h_{2}\right)^{2}+\frac{1}{4} k_{12}^{2}\left(h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}\right)\right)\left|\lambda_{3}\right| d V
\end{aligned}
$$

Taking into account the result of Lemma 2.3, we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta^{2} \operatorname{Vol}_{\xi}=\int_{G}\left(\frac{e_{1}\left(h_{1}\right)^{2}}{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}-\frac{2 k_{12}}{\lambda_{3}} e_{1}\left(h_{1}\right) e_{2}\left(h_{2}\right)+\frac{e_{2}\left(h_{2}\right)^{2}}{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}+\right. \\
& \left.\frac{e_{1}\left(h_{2}\right)^{2}}{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}+\frac{2 k_{12}}{\lambda_{3}} e_{1}\left(h_{2}\right) e_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)+\frac{e_{2}\left(h_{1}\right)^{2}}{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}+e_{3}\left(h_{1}\right)^{2}+e_{3}\left(h_{2}\right)^{2}+\left(\frac{\rho_{2}^{2}}{4}-1\right) h_{1}^{2}+\left(\frac{\rho_{1}^{2}}{4}-1\right) h_{2}^{2}\right)\left|\lambda_{3}\right| d V .
\end{aligned}
$$

The other cases can be treated in a similar way.

Remark 1 It is worthwhile to mention that if $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=1$, then $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=\rho_{3}=$ 2 and the integrand (9) up to multiple 2 is the same as in [11] obtained for the Hopf vector field on $S^{3}(1)$. In this case we deal with $S O(3)$ of constant curvature +1 which is isometric to $S^{3}(1)$. The left-invariant unit vector field corresponds the Hopf vector field on $S^{3}(1)$. So we can conclude that in this case the second variation of volume with respect to the field variation is equal to a half of classical second variation of volume. Therefore, the Hopf vector field is stable with respect to both types of variations. The stability the Hopf vector field with respect to the field variations was proved in [11] and in [18] for the classical treatment.

From Lemma 2.4 we immediately conclude the following.
Theorem 2.2 Let $\xi$ be left-invariant unit vector field on compact quotient of non-flat three-dimensional unimodular Lie group $G$ with left-invariant metric. Then $\xi(\Gamma \backslash G)$ is stable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1}(\Gamma \backslash G)$ if and only if $G=S O(3)$ of constant curvature +1 and $\xi$ is arbitrary left-invariant.

Proof. Let $\xi=e_{m}$ be totally geodesic. Then $\rho_{m}=2$ and to be left-invariant stable, the other Ricci curvatures must satisfy

$$
\left|\rho_{i}\right| \geq 2 \quad \text { or,equivalently, } \quad\left|\mu_{m} \mu_{j}\right| \geq 1
$$

and

$$
\left|\rho_{j}\right| \geq 2 \quad \text { or, equivalently, } \quad\left|\mu_{i} \mu_{m}\right| \geq 1
$$

To be generally stable, both quadratic expressions involving derivatives must be positively semi-definite. The latter condition is equivalent to

$$
\frac{k_{i j}^{2}}{\lambda_{m}^{2}} \leq \frac{1}{\left(1+\mu_{i}^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu_{j}^{2}\right)}
$$

Since $\rho_{m}=2 \mu_{i} \mu_{j}=2$, we have $\left(1+\mu_{i}^{2}\right)\left(1+\mu_{j}^{2}\right)=\left(2+\mu_{i}^{2}+\mu_{j}^{2}\right)=\left(\mu_{i}+\mu_{j}\right)^{2}=\lambda_{m}^{2}$. As a result, $\left|k_{i j}\right| \leq 1$. Observe that $k_{i j}=\mu_{i} \mu_{m}+\mu_{m} \mu_{j}-1$ and hence the equality $\left|k_{i j}\right| \leq 1$ is equivalent to

$$
0 \leq \mu_{m}\left(\mu_{i}+\mu_{j}\right) \leq 2 \quad \text { or } \quad 0 \leq \frac{\mu_{m}}{\mu_{i}}\left(1+\mu_{i}^{2}\right) \leq 2 \quad \text { or } \quad 0 \leq \frac{\mu_{m}}{\mu_{i}} \leq \frac{2}{1+\mu_{i}^{2}}
$$

Evidently, all connection coefficients have to be of the same sign. Therefore, the classical stability take place if

$$
\mu_{m} \mu_{i} \geq 1, \quad \frac{\mu_{m}}{\mu_{i}} \geq 1, \quad 0 \leq \frac{\mu_{m}}{\mu_{i}} \leq \frac{2}{1+\mu_{i}^{2}}
$$

The system is compatible if and only if $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}= \pm 1$. Taking into account the signs of $\lambda_{i}$, we obtain a unique solution $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=1$ which means that the base manifold is $S O(3)$ of constant curvature +1 and hence $\xi$ is arbitrary left-invariant.

If the system is inconsistent, then the totally geodesic submanifold $\xi(G)$ is unstable. Indeed, if say $\rho_{i}<2$, then in the case of compact quotient one can take $h_{i}=0, h_{m}=0$ and $h_{j}=$ const $\neq 0$ and we get $W(h, h)<0$ over whole compact quotient. If $\rho_{i} \geq 2$ and $\rho_{j} \geq 2$ but $\left|k_{i j}\right|>1$, then both quadratic expressions that involve derivatives of $h_{i}$ and $h_{j}$ in $W(h, h)$ are not positively semi-definite. By taking $h_{3}=0$ and $h_{1}, h_{2}$ sufficiently small with derivatives making the quadratic expressions negative, we obtain negative $W(h, h)$ at least over some domain $F \subset \Gamma \backslash G$.

The proof of Lemma 2.4 essentially uses non-flatness of the group. If the group is flat, then the second classical variation of volume for the unit vector field with totally geodesic image is much simpler.

Theorem 2.3 Let $\xi$ is a unit vector field on compact quotient of flat three-dimensional unimodular Lie group $G$ with the left-invariant metric. Then

- if $G=E(2)$ and $\xi$ is a parallel unit vector field on $E(2)$, then $\xi(\Gamma \backslash G)$ is stable totally geodesic submanifold;
- if $G=E(2)$ and $\xi$ is in integrable distribution orthogonal to the parallel vector field on $E(2)$, then $\xi(\Gamma \backslash G)$ is unstable totally geodesic submanifold;
- if $G=R \oplus R \oplus R$ and is arbitrary left-invariant, then $\xi\left(T^{3}\right)$ is stable totally geodesic submanifold.

Proof. We have flat $E(2)$ if $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=a>\lambda_{3}=0$. In this case $\mu_{1}=0, \mu_{2}=0, \mu_{3}=a$ and $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=\rho_{3}=0$. The field $\xi=e_{3}$ is the field of unit normals of the integrable orthogonal distribution $\xi^{\perp}$. In this case $\widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}}(\tilde{N})=0$ and we have $\xi(G)$ stable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$.

As concerns the field $\xi=\cos t e_{1}+\sin t e_{2}$, rotating the frame in $e_{1} \wedge e_{2}$ plane we may always put $\xi=e_{1}$ without loss of generality. Then

$$
A_{\xi}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -a \\
0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{\xi}^{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & -a & 0
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The tangent frame consists of

$$
\tilde{e}_{1}=e_{1}^{h}, \quad \tilde{e}_{2}=e_{2}^{h}, \quad \tilde{e}_{3}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+a^{2}}}\left(e_{3}^{h}+a e_{2}^{v}\right)
$$

The normal frame on $\xi(G)$ consists of

$$
\tilde{n}_{2}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+a^{2}}}\left(-a e_{3}^{h}+e_{2}^{v}\right), \quad \tilde{n}_{3}=e_{3}^{v}
$$

For the field of normal variation $\tilde{N}=h_{2} \tilde{n}_{2}+h_{3} \tilde{n}_{3}$, we have

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}}(\tilde{N})=\frac{a^{2}}{1+a^{2}} h_{3}^{2}
$$

The normal connection of $\xi(G)$ is flat and hence, by choosing the variation with constant $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$, we get

$$
\delta^{2} V o l_{\xi}=-\frac{a^{2}}{1+a^{2}} h_{3}^{2} \operatorname{Vol}(G)
$$

which means that $\xi(G)$ is ustable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$.
In the case of $R \oplus R \oplus R$ the compact quotient is flat torus $T^{3}$. Each left-invariant field is parallel and therefore, the $\xi\left(T^{3}\right)$ is stable totally geodesic submanifold.

Considering the field of normal variation of $\xi(G)$ for $\xi=e_{3}$, namely,

$$
\tilde{N}=\left(\frac{h_{1} \mu_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{1}+\frac{h_{2} \mu_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} e_{2}\right)^{h}+\left(-\frac{h_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} e_{1}+\frac{h_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{2}\right)^{v}
$$

one can observe that this field generates two variations of the field $\xi$ in a meaning of [11], namely

$$
Z_{1}=\pi_{*}(\tilde{N})=\frac{h_{1} \mu_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{1}+\frac{h_{2} \mu_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} e_{2}, \quad Z_{2}=\mathcal{K}(\tilde{N})=-\frac{h_{2}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{2}^{2}}} e_{1}+\frac{h_{1}}{\sqrt{1+\mu_{1}^{2}}} e_{2} .
$$

If $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ are non-constant, then these variations exclude $\xi(G)$ from the class of submanifolds in $T_{1} G$, generated by the left invariant unit vector fields. This fact justifies the following definition.

Definition 2.1 Let $\xi$ be left-invariant unit vector field on Lie group $G$ with the leftinvariant metric. The normal variation vector field $\tilde{N}$ on $\xi(G) \subset T_{1} G$ is called leftinvariant, if $Z_{1}=\pi_{*}(\tilde{N}) \quad Z_{2}=\mathcal{K}(\tilde{N})$ are left-invariant vector fields on $G$.

If we restrict the variations to the left-invariant ones, we obtain a wider class of classically stable totally geodesic unit vector fields.

Theorem 2.4 Let $G$ be three-dimensional unimodular Lie group with the left-invariant metric. Let $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)$ is the canonical frame of its Lie algebra. Set for definiteness $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2} \geq \lambda_{3}$. Then stable or unstable with respect to left-invariant variations totally geodesic submanifolds generated by unit left-invariant vector field $\xi$ on compact quotient of $G$ are the following.

| $G$ or $\Gamma \backslash G$ | Ricci principal curvatures | $\xi$ | left-invariant <br> stability or <br> instability |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- |
|  | $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=\rho_{3}=2$ | $\mathcal{S}$ | stable |
|  | $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}>\rho_{3}=2$ | $\pm e_{3}$ | stable |
|  | $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=2>\rho_{3}$ | $\cos t e_{1}+\sin t e_{2}$ | unstable |
|  | $\rho_{1}=2>\rho_{2}=\rho_{3}$ | $\pm e_{1}$ | unstable |
|  | $\rho_{1}>\rho_{2}=\rho_{3}=2$ | $\cos t e_{2}+\sin t e_{3}$ | stable |
|  | $\rho_{1}=2>\rho_{2}>\rho_{3}$ | $\pm e_{1}$ | unstable |
|  | $\rho_{1}>\rho_{2}=2>\rho_{3}$ | $\pm e_{2}$ | unstable |
|  | $\rho_{1}>\rho_{2}>\rho_{3}=2$ | $\pm e_{3}$ | stable |
| $\Gamma \backslash E(2, R)$ | $\rho_{3}=2>-2>\rho_{2}>\rho_{1}$ | $\pm e_{3}$ | unstable |
|  | $\rho_{1}=2>-2>\rho_{2}>\rho_{3}$ | $\pm e_{1}$ | stable |
|  | $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=\rho_{3}=0$, | $\pm e_{3}$, | stable |
|  | $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=0, \mu_{3}>0$ | $\cos t e_{1}+\sin t e_{2}$ | unstable |
|  | $\rho_{1}=2>\rho_{3}>\rho_{2}=-2$ | $\pm e_{1}$ | unstable |
| $\Gamma \backslash N i l^{3}$ | $\rho_{3}=2>\rho_{1}=-2>\rho_{2}$ | $\pm e_{3}$ | stable |
|  | $\rho_{1}=2>\rho_{2}=-2>\rho_{3}$ | $\pm e_{1}$ | stable |
|  | $\rho_{1}=2>\rho_{2}=\rho_{3}=-2$ | $\pm e_{1}$ | stable |
|  | $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=\rho_{3}=0$, | $\mathcal{S}$ | stable |
|  | $\mu_{1}=\mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=0$ |  |  |

where $\mathcal{S}$ stands for arbitrary left-invariant unit vector field of the form $\xi=\cos t \cos s e_{1}+$ $\cos t \sin s e_{2}+\sin t e_{3}$ with fixed parameters $t$ and $s$.

Proof. If one take the left-invariant variations, then (9) takes the form

$$
W(h, h)=\left(\frac{\rho_{j}^{2}}{4}-1\right) h_{i}^{2}+\left(\frac{\rho_{i}^{2}}{4}-1\right) h_{j}^{2}
$$

end hence if

$$
\min \left(\left|\rho_{i}\right|,\left|\rho_{j}\right|\right) \geq \rho_{m}=2 \quad(i \neq j \neq m \neq i)
$$

then $\xi=e_{m}$ generates stable totally geodesic subnanifold. If $\rho_{i}<2$ or $\rho_{j}<2$ then choosing $h_{j} \neq 0$ or $h_{i} \neq 0$ we get $W(h, h)<0$ which means that the submanifold $\xi(G)$ is unstable.

Below, we check all unimodular three-dimensional Lie groups with left-invariant metric and corresponding totally geodesic unit vector fields on left-invariant stability or instability.

- The group $S O(3)$.

1. $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=2$. Here $\xi$ is arbitrary unit left-invariant and $\xi(G)$ is classically stable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$ by Theorem 2.2.
2. Put $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=2+\delta, \lambda_{3}=2$. Here $\xi=e_{3}$. Since

$$
\rho_{1}=2(1+\delta)=\rho_{2}=2(1+\delta)>\rho_{3}=2
$$

$\xi(G)$ is left-invariant stable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$.
3. Put $\lambda_{1}=\lambda_{2}=2+\varepsilon, \lambda_{3}=2+\varepsilon-\sqrt{\varepsilon(\varepsilon+4)}>0$. Rotating the frame in $e_{1} \wedge e_{2}$ plane, we can always put $\xi=e_{1}$.
The connection coefficients are

$$
\mu_{1}=1+\frac{\varepsilon-\sqrt{\varepsilon(\varepsilon+4)}}{2}, \mu_{2}=1+\frac{\varepsilon-\sqrt{\varepsilon(\varepsilon+4)}}{2}, \mu_{3}=1+\frac{\varepsilon+\sqrt{\varepsilon(\varepsilon+4)}}{2} \text {. }
$$

The principal Ricci curvatures are

$$
\rho_{1}=2, \rho_{2}=2, \rho_{3}=\frac{1}{2}(2+\varepsilon-\sqrt{\varepsilon(\varepsilon+4)})^{2} .
$$

We have $\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=2>\rho_{3}>0$ and $W(h, h)=\left(\rho_{3}^{2} / 4-1\right) h_{2}^{2}<0$ for $h_{2} \neq 0$. Hence, $\xi(G)$ is unstable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$.
4. Put $\lambda_{1}=2, \lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}=2-\varepsilon, 0<\varepsilon<2$. Here $\xi=e_{1}$. The connection coefficients and the Ricci principal curvatures are

$$
\mu_{1}=1-\varepsilon, \mu_{2}=1, \mu_{3}=1 ; \quad \rho_{1}=2, \rho_{2}=2(1-\varepsilon), \rho_{3}=2(1-\varepsilon) .
$$

We have $\rho_{1}=2>\rho_{2}=\rho_{3}>-2$ and hence $\rho_{2}^{2}=\rho_{3}^{2}<4$. Therefore, $\xi(G)$ is unstable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$.
5. Put $\lambda_{1}=\varepsilon+\sqrt{4+\varepsilon^{2}}, \lambda_{2}=\sqrt{4+\varepsilon^{2}}, \lambda_{3}=\sqrt{4+\varepsilon^{2}}$. In this case $\xi=\cos t e_{2}+$ $\sin t e_{3}$. Rotating the frame, we may put $\xi=e_{3}$. Then

$$
\mu_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}-\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \mu_{2}=\mu_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}+\varepsilon}{2}=1 / \mu_{1},
$$

The principal Ricci curvatures are

$$
\rho_{1}=2+\varepsilon\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}+\varepsilon\right)>\rho_{2}=\rho_{3}=2
$$

and hence $\xi(G)$ is left-invariant stable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$
6. $\lambda_{1}>\lambda_{2}>\lambda_{3}>0, \lambda_{m}^{2}-\left(\lambda_{i}-\lambda_{k}\right)^{2}=4$. Denote $\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}=\delta>0, \lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}=\varepsilon>0$. Then $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}=\varepsilon+\delta$. Here we have 3 distinct cases.
(i) $\lambda_{1}^{2}=\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)^{2}+4, \xi=e_{1}$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1}=\sqrt{4+\delta^{2}}, \lambda_{2}=\sqrt{4+\delta^{2}}-\varepsilon>0, \lambda_{3}=\sqrt{4+\delta^{2}}-\varepsilon-\delta>0 .
$$

The connection coefficients are

$$
\mu_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{\delta^{2}+4}-\delta}{2}-\varepsilon, \quad \mu_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{\delta^{2}+4}-\delta}{2}, \quad \mu_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{\delta^{2}+4}+\delta}{2} .
$$

The principal Ricci curvatures are

$$
\rho_{1}=2>\rho_{2}=2-\varepsilon\left(\sqrt{\delta^{2}+4}+\delta\right)>\rho_{3}=2-(\varepsilon+\delta)\left(\sqrt{\delta^{2}+4}-\delta\right)>-2 .
$$

and we obtain unstable totally geodesic submanifold in in $T_{1} G$.
(ii) $\lambda_{2}^{2}=\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{3}\right)^{2}+4, \xi=e_{2}$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1}=\sqrt{4+(\varepsilon+\delta)^{2}}+\varepsilon, \quad \lambda_{2}=\sqrt{4+(\varepsilon+\delta)^{2}}, \quad \lambda_{3}=\sqrt{4+(\varepsilon+\delta)^{2}}-\delta>0
$$

The connection coefficients are

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{(\varepsilon+\delta)^{2}+4}-(\varepsilon+\delta)}{2}, \quad \mu_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{(\varepsilon+\delta)^{2}+4}+\varepsilon-\delta}{2} \\
\mu_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{(\varepsilon+\delta)^{2}+4}+\varepsilon+\delta}{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

The principal Ricci curvatures are

$$
\rho_{1}=2+\varepsilon\left(\sqrt{(\varepsilon+\delta)^{2}+4}+\varepsilon+\delta\right), \rho_{2}=2, \rho_{3}=2-\delta\left(\sqrt{(\varepsilon+\delta)^{2}+4}-(\varepsilon+\delta)\right) .
$$

Here $\rho_{1}>\rho_{2}=2>\rho_{3}>-2$ and we obtain unstable totally geodesic submanifold in in $T_{1} G$.
(iii) $\lambda_{3}^{2}=\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}+4, \xi=e_{3}$. Then

$$
\lambda_{1}=\sqrt{4+\varepsilon^{2}}+\varepsilon+\delta, \quad \lambda_{2}=\sqrt{4+\varepsilon^{2}}+\delta, \quad \lambda_{3}=\sqrt{4+\varepsilon^{2}} .
$$

The connection coefficients are

$$
\mu_{1}=\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}-\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \mu_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}+\varepsilon}{2}=1 / \mu_{1}, \quad \mu_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}+\varepsilon}{2}+\delta
$$

The principal Ricci curvatures are

$$
\rho_{1}=2+(\varepsilon+\delta)\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}+\varepsilon\right)>\rho_{2}=2+\delta\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}-\varepsilon\right)>\rho_{3}=2
$$

and we obtain left-invariant stable totally geodesic submanifold in in $T_{1} G$.

- The group $S L(2, R)$. Here we have $\xi=e_{3}$ or $\xi=e_{1}$.

1. In the case $\xi=e_{3}$ we have $\lambda_{3}^{2}-\left(\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}\right)^{2}=4$. Put $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}=\varepsilon>0$. Then $\lambda_{3}=-\sqrt{4+\varepsilon^{2}}, \lambda_{2}=a>0, \lambda_{1}=a+\varepsilon$. The connection coefficients are

$$
\mu_{1}=-\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}+\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \mu_{2}=-\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}-\varepsilon}{2}=1 / \mu_{1}, \quad \mu_{3}=a+\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}+\varepsilon}{2} .
$$

The principal Ricci curvatures are

$$
\rho_{1}=-2-a\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}-\varepsilon\right), \rho_{2}=-2-(a+\varepsilon)\left(\sqrt{4+\varepsilon^{2}}+\varepsilon\right), \rho_{3}=2 .
$$

Observe that $\rho_{3}=2>\rho_{1}>\rho_{2}$, but $\rho_{2}<\rho_{1}<-2$. Therefore, $\rho_{2}^{2}>\rho_{1}^{2}>4$ and hence $\left(\rho_{2}^{2} / 4-1\right) h_{3}^{2}+\left(\rho_{3}^{2} / 4-1\right) h_{2}^{2}>0$. So we have $\xi(G)$ left-invariant stable totally geodesic submanifold in in $T_{1} G$.
2. In the case $\xi=e_{1}$ we have $\lambda_{1}^{2}-\left(\lambda_{2}-\lambda_{3}\right)^{2}=4$. Put $\lambda_{3}=-a(a>0), \lambda_{2}=\lambda_{3}+\varepsilon=$ $\varepsilon-a>0, \lambda_{1}=\sqrt{4+\varepsilon^{2}}$. (Observe, that $\lambda_{1}-\lambda_{2}=\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}-\varepsilon+a>-\lambda_{3}=a$ ). Besides, $\lambda_{1} \geq \lambda_{2}$. Therefore $\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4} \geq \varepsilon-a>0$.
The connection coefficients are

$$
\mu_{1}=-a-\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}-\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \mu_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}-\varepsilon}{2}, \quad \mu_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}+\varepsilon}{2}=1 / \mu_{2} .
$$

The principal Ricci curvatures are

$$
\rho_{1}=2, \quad \rho_{2}=-2-a\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}+\varepsilon\right), \quad \rho_{3}=-2+(\varepsilon-a)\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}-\varepsilon\right) .
$$

Observe, that $\rho_{2}<-2$ but $-2<\rho_{3}<2$. Indeed, $\varepsilon-a \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}$ and hence

$$
(\varepsilon-a)\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}-\varepsilon\right) \leq \sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}-\varepsilon\right)=4-\varepsilon\left(\sqrt{\varepsilon^{2}+4}-\varepsilon\right)<4 .
$$

Therefore the $\xi(G)$ is unstable totally geodesic submanifold in in $T_{1} G$.

- The group $E(2)$. The flat case was considered in Theorem 2.3. Consider the case $\lambda_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{2}^{2}=4, \lambda_{1}>0, \lambda_{2}>0$ and $\xi=e_{1}$. Put $\lambda_{1}=\sqrt{4+a^{2}}, \lambda_{2}=a>0, \lambda_{3}=0$. Then

$$
\mu_{1}=-\frac{\sqrt{4+a^{2}}-a}{2}, \quad \mu_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{4+a^{2}}-a}{2}, \quad \mu_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{4+a^{2}}+a}{2}=1 / \mu_{2}
$$

and

$$
\rho_{1}=2, \rho_{2}=-2, \rho_{3}=-2+a\left(\sqrt{4+a^{2}}-a\right) .
$$

So we have

$$
\rho_{1}=2>\rho_{3}>\rho_{2}=-2, \quad \rho_{3}^{2}<4
$$

and hence $\left(\rho_{3}^{2} / 4-1\right) h_{2}^{2}<0$ for $h_{2} \neq 0$. Therefore, $\xi(G)$ is unstable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$.

- The group $E(1,1)$. Here again we have 2 options.

1. Consider $\lambda_{3}^{2}-\lambda_{1}^{2}=4, \lambda_{1}>0, \lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}<0$. The field here is $\xi=e_{3}$. Put $\lambda_{1}=a, \lambda_{3}=-\sqrt{a^{2}+4}$. Then

$$
\mu_{1}=-\frac{a+\sqrt{a^{2}+4}}{2}, \quad \mu_{3}=\frac{a+\sqrt{a^{2}+4}}{2}, \quad \mu_{2}=\frac{a-\sqrt{a^{2}+4}}{2}=1 / \mu_{1}
$$

and the principal Ricci curvatures are

$$
\rho_{1}=-2, \quad \rho_{3}=2, \quad \rho_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(a+\sqrt{a^{2}+4}\right)^{2}=-2-a\left(a+\sqrt{4+a^{2}}\right) .
$$

Evidently, $\rho_{3}=2>\rho_{1}=-2>\rho_{2}$ but $\rho_{2}^{2}>\rho_{1}^{2}=4$. Therefore,

$$
\left(\rho_{2}^{2} / 4-1\right) h_{1}^{2} \geq 0
$$

and we have left-invariant stable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$.
2. Consider $\lambda_{1}^{2}-\lambda_{3}^{2}=4, \lambda_{1}>0, \lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}<0$. In this case $\xi=e_{1}$. Put $\lambda_{1}=\sqrt{a^{2}+4}, \lambda_{3}=-a<0$. Then

$$
\mu_{1}=-\frac{a+\sqrt{a^{2}+4}}{2}, \quad \mu_{2}=\frac{\sqrt{a^{2}+4}-a}{2}, \quad \mu_{3}=\frac{\sqrt{a^{2}+4}+a}{2}=1 / \mu_{2}
$$

and the principal Ricci curvatures are

$$
\rho_{1}=2, \quad \rho_{2}=-\frac{1}{2}\left(a+\sqrt{a^{2}+4}\right)^{2}=-2-a\left(a+\sqrt{a^{2}+4}\right), \quad \rho_{3}=-2 .
$$

Observe, that $\rho_{1}=2>\rho_{3}=-2>\rho_{2}$ but $\rho_{2}^{2}>\rho_{3}^{2}=4$. Therefore,

$$
\left(\rho_{2}^{2} / 4-1\right) h_{1}^{2} \geq 0
$$

and we have left-invariant stable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$.

- The group $N i l^{3}$. In this case $\lambda_{1}=2, \lambda_{2}=0, \lambda_{3}=0$ and the field $\xi=e_{1}$. It is easy to calculate

$$
\begin{gathered}
\mu_{1}=-1, \quad \mu_{2}=1, \quad \mu_{3}=1=1 / \mu_{2}, \\
\rho_{1}=2, \quad \rho_{2}=-2, \quad \rho_{3}=-2
\end{gathered}
$$

and observe, that $\rho_{1}=2>\rho_{2}=\rho_{3}=-2$. Therefore, we have $\xi(G)$ left-invariant stable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$.

- The flat torus $T^{3}$ was considered in Theorem 2.3.

Remark 2 The results of Theorem 2.4 that concern instability correlate with instability results from [14], where the the second variation of volume was calculated with respect to the field variations and the variation field was chosen with constant variation functions, i.e. left-invariant in our terminology.

Summarizing the results of the Theorem 2.4, we can observe that $\xi(G)$ is stable with respect to left-invariant variations totally geodesic unit vector field if and only if $\xi$ is the unit eigenvector of the Ricci operator which corresponds to minimal in absolute value principal Ricci curvature $\rho=2$.

## 3 Non-unimodular groups.

If $G$ is three-dimensional non-unimodular Lie group with the left-invariant metric, then there is the left-invariant orthonormal frame $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)$ such that

$$
\left[e_{1}, e_{2}\right]=\alpha e_{2}+\beta e_{3}, \quad\left[e_{1}, e_{3}\right]=-\beta e_{2}+\delta e_{3}, \quad\left[e_{2}, e_{3}\right]=0,
$$

where $\alpha, \beta$ and $\delta$ ar all constant satisfying $\alpha>\delta, \alpha \geq-\delta$. Let us call this frame by canonical one.

The non-unimodular group is not compact and does not admit a compact factor [15]. That is why one should consider the formula (3) over each domain $F \subset G$ with compact closeur. We say that the $\xi(G)$ is unstable minimal/totally geodesic unit vector
field if there is a domain $F \subset G$ with compact closeur such that the second variation $\delta^{2} V_{o l}(F)<0$.

The author described the groups which admit the totally geodesic left-invariant vector fields and the field themselves [21]. Here we complement the theorem with stability property as follows.

Theorem 3.1 Let $G$ be three-dimensional non-unimodular Lie group with the leftinvariant metric Let $\xi$ be left-invariant unit vector field on $G$ and $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}\right)$ the canonical ortonormal frame of its Lie algebra. Suppose $\xi(G) \subset T_{1} G$ is totally geodesic. Then

- $\beta=\delta=0$ and $\xi=e_{3}$ is a parallel unit vector field; the $\xi(G)$ is stable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$;
- $\alpha \delta=-1, \beta= \pm 1$ and $\xi$ is of the form

$$
\xi=\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{2}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{3} ;
$$

the $\xi(G)$ is unstable totally geodesic submanifold in $T_{1} G$;.
Proof. As it was proved in [21], if $\beta=\delta=0$, then $\xi=e_{3}$ is a field of unit normals of some totally geodesic 2-foliation on $G$ and $A_{\xi}=-\nabla \xi=0$. Hence, in (8) all the terms with $\xi$ turn into zero. The (1) implies that $\xi(G)$ is horizontal while its field of normals is vertical. Therefore, $X_{2}=K(\tilde{X})=0$ and $Z_{1}=\pi_{*}(\tilde{N})=0$. The (8) implies

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}}(\tilde{N})=0
$$

Therefore, $W(h, h) \geq 0$ and hence $\xi(G)$ is stable.
Consider the case

$$
\alpha \delta=-1, \beta= \pm 1, \quad \xi=\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{2}+\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{3} .
$$

Observe, that the conditions $\alpha>\delta, \alpha \geq-\delta$ and $\alpha \delta=-1$ imply $\alpha \geq 1$. For such a vector field we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
x_{1}=0, \quad x_{2}=\frac{\beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}}, \quad x_{3}=\frac{\alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} . \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

The table of covariant derivatives is

| $\nabla$ | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{1}$ | 0 | $\beta e_{3}$ | $-\beta e_{2}$ |
| $e_{2}$ | $-\alpha e_{2}$ | $\alpha e_{1}$ | 0 |
| $e_{3}$ | $\frac{1}{\alpha} e_{3}$ | 0 | $-\frac{1}{\alpha} e_{1}$ |

Then

$$
A_{\xi}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & -\alpha x_{2} & \frac{1}{\alpha} x_{3} \\
\beta x_{3} & 0 & 0 \\
-\beta x_{2} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{\xi}^{t}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & \beta x_{3} & -\beta x_{2} \\
-\alpha x_{2} & 0 & 0 \\
\frac{1}{\alpha} x_{3} & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

and

$$
A_{\xi}^{t} A_{\xi}=\left(\begin{array}{ccc}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \frac{\alpha^{2}}{1+\alpha^{2}} & \frac{-\alpha \beta}{1+\alpha^{2}} \\
0 & \frac{-\alpha \beta}{1+\alpha^{2}} & \frac{1}{1+\alpha^{2}}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Therefore, the singular values of $A_{\xi}$ are 0 and 1. The corresponding singular frames are

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}=\xi, \quad s_{1}=e_{1}, \quad s_{2}=\frac{-\alpha \beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{3} \\
& f_{1}=A_{\xi}\left(s_{1}\right)=\frac{\beta \alpha}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{3}, \quad f_{2}=A_{\xi}\left(s_{2}\right)=e_{1}
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence, the tangent and normal orthonormal framing of $\xi(G)$ is given by (2) as follows

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{e}_{0}=\xi^{h} \\
& \tilde{e}_{1}=\frac{\xi_{*}\left(s_{1}\right)}{\left|\xi_{*}\left(s_{1}\right)\right|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{1}^{h}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha \beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{3}\right)^{v} \\
& \tilde{e}_{2}=\frac{\xi_{*}\left(s_{2}\right)}{\left|\xi_{*}\left(s_{2}\right)\right|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{-\alpha \beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{3}\right)^{h}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{1}^{v} \\
& \tilde{n}_{1}=\frac{\nu\left(f_{1}\right)}{\left|\nu\left(f_{1}\right)\right|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{1}^{h}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha \beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{3}\right)^{v} \\
& \tilde{n}_{2}=\frac{\nu\left(f_{2}\right)}{\left|\nu\left(f_{2}\right)\right|}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{-\alpha \beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{3}\right)^{h}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{1}^{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

To calculate the partial Ricci curvature for $\xi(G)$ by (8), we need the components of the Riemannian tensor of $G$ with respect to the canonical frame [21].

|  | $e_{1}$ | $e_{2}$ | $e_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $R\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) \bullet$ | $\alpha^{2} e_{2}-\beta(\alpha-\delta) e_{3}$ | $-\alpha^{2} e_{1}$ | $\beta(\alpha-\delta) e_{1}$ |
| $R\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) \bullet$ | $-\beta(\alpha-\delta) e_{2}+\delta^{2} e_{3}$ | $\beta(\alpha-\delta) e_{1}$ | $-\delta^{2} e_{1}$ |
| $R\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) \bullet$ | 0 | $\alpha \delta e_{3}$ | $-\alpha \delta e_{2}$ |

The derivatives of the curvature tensor need routine calculations which can be conducted with MAPLE.

|  | $(\nabla \bullet R)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{1}$ | $(\nabla \bullet R)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{2}$ | $(\nabla \bullet R)\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right) e_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{1}$ | $2 \beta^{2}(\alpha-\delta) e_{2}+\beta\left(\alpha^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) e_{3}$ | $-2 \beta^{2}(\alpha-\delta) e_{1}$ | $-\beta\left(\alpha^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) e_{1}$ |
| $e_{2}$ | 0 | $\beta \alpha(\alpha-\delta) e_{3}$ | $-\beta \alpha(\alpha-\delta) e_{2}$ |
| $e_{3}$ | 0 | $\alpha \delta(\alpha-\delta) e_{3}$ | $-\alpha \delta(\alpha-\delta) e_{2}$ |


|  | $(\nabla \bullet R)\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{1}$ | $(\nabla \bullet R)\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{2}$ | $(\nabla \cdot R)\left(e_{1}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{1}$ | $\beta\left(\alpha^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) e_{2}-\beta^{2}(\alpha-\delta) e_{3}$ | $-\beta\left(\alpha^{2}-\delta^{2}\right) e_{1}$ | $2 \beta^{2}(\alpha-\delta) e_{1}$ |
| $e_{2}$ | 0 | $\alpha \delta(\alpha-\delta) e_{3}$ | $-\alpha \delta(\alpha-\delta) e_{2}$ |
| $e_{3}$ | 0 | $-\beta \delta(\alpha-\delta) e_{3}$ | $\beta \delta(\alpha-\delta) e_{2}$ |


|  | $(\nabla \bullet R)\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{1}$ | $(\nabla \bullet R)\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{2}$ | $(\nabla \bullet R)\left(e_{2}, e_{3}\right) e_{3}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $e_{1}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $e_{2}$ | $\alpha \beta(\alpha-\delta) e_{2}+\alpha \delta(\alpha-\delta) e_{3}$ | $-\alpha \beta(\alpha-\delta) e_{1}$ | $-\alpha \delta(\alpha-\delta) e_{1}$ |
| $e_{3}$ | $\alpha \delta(\alpha-\delta) e_{2}-\beta \delta(\alpha-\delta) e_{3}$ | $-\alpha \delta(\alpha-\delta) e_{1}$ | $\beta \delta(\alpha-\delta) e_{1}$ |

Take now the field of normal variation $\tilde{N}=h_{1} \tilde{n}_{1}+h_{2} \tilde{n}_{2}$. To calculate $K\left(\tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{N}\right)$, put

$$
\begin{gathered}
X_{1}=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} e_{1}, \quad X_{2}=-\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(\frac{\alpha \beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{3}\right) \\
Y_{1}=\pi_{*}(\tilde{N})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(h_{1} e_{1}+h_{2}\left(-\frac{\alpha \beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{2}+\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{3}\right)\right) \\
Y_{2}=K(\tilde{N})=\frac{1}{\sqrt{2}}\left(h_{2} e_{1}+h_{1}\left(\frac{\alpha \beta}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{2}-\frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}} e_{3}\right)\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and apply (8). The MAPLE calculations yield

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Y_{1}, X_{1}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{4} \frac{\alpha^{4}+\alpha^{2}+1}{\alpha^{2}} h_{2}^{2}, \quad\left\|R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) \xi\right\|^{2}=0 \\
& \left\|R\left(\xi, Y_{2}\right) X_{1}+R\left(\xi, X_{2}\right) Y_{1}\right\|^{2}=\frac{\alpha^{6}-\alpha^{4}+3 \alpha^{2}+1}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)} h_{2}^{2} \\
& \left\|X_{2}\right\|^{2}\left\|Y_{2}\right\|^{2}-\left\langle X_{2}, Y_{2}\right\rangle^{2}=\frac{1}{4} h_{2}^{2}, \\
& \left\langle R\left(X_{1}, Y_{1}\right) Y_{2}, X_{2}\right\rangle=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\alpha^{4}+\alpha^{2}+1}{\alpha^{2}} h_{2}^{2}, \quad\left\langle R\left(\xi, X_{2}\right) X_{1}, R\left(\xi, Y_{2}\right) Y_{1}\right\rangle=0, \\
& \left\langle\left(\nabla_{X_{1}} R\right)\left(\xi, Y_{2}\right) Y_{1}, X_{1}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{4} \frac{\alpha^{6}-\alpha^{4}+5 \alpha^{2}-1}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)} h_{2}^{2}, \\
& \left\langle\left(\nabla_{Y_{1}} R\right)\left(\xi, X_{2}\right) X_{1}, Y_{1}\right\rangle=-\frac{1}{4} \frac{\alpha^{6}+10 \alpha^{4}+4 \alpha^{2}+7}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)} h_{2}^{2} .
\end{aligned}
$$

After substitution into (8) and the MAPLE algebraic transformations we get

$$
\tilde{K}\left(\tilde{e}_{1}, \tilde{N}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\alpha^{6}+10 \alpha^{4}+4 \alpha^{2}+7}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)} h_{2}^{2}
$$

In a similar way,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{K}\left(\tilde{e}_{2}, \tilde{N}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{5 \alpha^{8}-\alpha^{6}+3 \alpha^{4}+13 \alpha^{2}-8}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{1}^{2}+\frac{\alpha^{8}+2 \alpha^{4}+1}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{2}^{2} \\
& \tilde{K}\left(\tilde{e}_{0}, \tilde{N}\right)=\frac{1}{4} \frac{\alpha^{4}+14 \alpha^{2}-11}{\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{4} \frac{3 \alpha^{4}+2 \alpha^{2}-9}{\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{2}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

As a result, the partial Ricci curvature of $\xi(G)$ obtains the form

$$
\widetilde{\operatorname{Ric}}(\tilde{N})=\frac{1}{4} \frac{5 \alpha^{8}+17 \alpha^{4}+2 \alpha^{2}-8}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{1}^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \frac{5 \alpha^{8}+8 \alpha^{6}+20 \alpha^{4}+20 \alpha^{2}+11}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{2}^{2}
$$

In this case we can not consider the left-invariant variations because of the boundary conditions. Nevertheless, one can consider the left-invariant variation over a subdomain $F_{1} \subset F$ such that $\operatorname{mes}\left(\bar{F} \backslash F_{1}\right)<\varepsilon$ for however small $\varepsilon$. If the second left-invariant variation over $F_{1}$ is negative and bounded away from zero, then by taking $F_{1}$ sufficiently large we always can make $\delta^{2} \operatorname{Vol}_{\xi}(F)<0$.

If the variation field $\tilde{N}$ is left-invariant, then

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{2}\left\|\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{i}}^{\perp} \tilde{N}\right\|^{2}=\left(\sum_{i=0}^{2}\left(\tilde{\gamma}_{1 i}^{2}\right)^{2}\right)\left(h_{1}^{2}+h_{2}^{2}\right)
$$

where $\tilde{\gamma}_{1 i}^{2}=\tilde{g}\left(\tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{i}} \tilde{n}_{1}, \tilde{n}_{2}\right)$ are the coefficients of the $\xi(G)$ normal bundle connection with respect to the chosen frame.

Calculating, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{0}} \tilde{n}_{1}=\frac{3}{4} \frac{\sqrt{2}}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}}\left(\beta \alpha e_{2}+e_{3}\right)^{h}+\frac{\sqrt{2}}{1+\alpha^{2}} e_{1}^{v}, \quad \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{1}} \tilde{n}_{1}=0 \\
& \tilde{\nabla}_{\tilde{e}_{2}} \tilde{n}_{1}=\frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{\sqrt{1+\alpha^{2}}}\left(\beta\left(2 \alpha^{2}-1\right) e_{2}-\alpha e_{3}\right)^{h}-\frac{1}{2} \frac{\alpha^{4}+\alpha^{2}-2}{\alpha\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}} e_{1}^{v}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now one can easily find

$$
\tilde{\gamma}_{10}^{2}=\frac{\alpha^{2}+2}{1+\alpha^{2}}, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{11}^{2}=0, \quad \tilde{\gamma}_{12}^{2}=-\frac{1}{4} \frac{\sqrt{2}\left(3 \alpha^{2}-2\right)}{\alpha}
$$

After substitution and MAPLE algebraic transformations, the left-invariant part of integrand in (3) takes the form

$$
W(h, h)=-\frac{1}{8} \frac{\alpha^{8}-14 \alpha^{6}+13 \alpha^{4}-24 \alpha^{2}-20}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{1}^{2}-\frac{1}{8} \frac{\alpha^{8}+2 \alpha^{6}+19 \alpha^{4}+12 \alpha^{2}+18}{\alpha^{2}\left(1+\alpha^{2}\right)^{2}} h_{2}^{2}
$$

The factor at $h_{2}$ is always negative and hence the submanifold $\xi(G)$ is unstable. The proof is complete.

Closing observation. Analyzing the Remarks 1 and 2 one can conjecture that if the horizontal and vertical projections of classical normal variation vector field are in $\xi^{\perp}$, then the classical stability or instability of minimal (or totally geodesic) submanifold $\xi(M) \subset T_{1} M$ is equivalent to stability or instability of the unit vector field in the meaning of [11].
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